IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

4:21-CR-3109

vs.

TENTATIVE FINDINGS

LESLIE JENNIFER GODOY-MENJIVAR,

Defendant.

The Court has received the revised presentence investigation report in this case. The defendant has moved for a downward variance. Filing 20. In addition, the defendant's sentencing memorandum (filing 21) contains an objection to the presentence report.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The Court will consult and follow the Federal Sentencing Guidelines to the extent permitted and required by *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005) and subsequent cases. In this regard, the Court gives notice that, unless otherwise ordered, it will:
 - (a) give the advisory Guidelines respectful consideration within the context of each individual case and will filter the Guidelines' advice through the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, but will not afford the Guidelines any particular or "substantial" weight;
 - (b) resolve all factual disputes relevant to sentencing by the greater weight of the evidence and without the aid of a jury;

- (c) impose upon the United States the burden of proof on all Guidelines enhancements;
- (d) impose upon the defendant the burden of proof on all Guidelines mitigators;
- (e) depart from the advisory Guidelines, if appropriate, using pre-Booker departure theory; and
- (f) in cases where a departure using pre-Booker departure theory is not warranted, deviate or vary from the Guidelines when there is a principled reason justifying a sentence different than that called for by application of the advisory Guidelines, again without affording the Guidelines any particular or "substantial" weight.
- 2. The defendant has moved for a downward variance based on her personal circumstances and the circumstances of the offense. Filing 20; see filing 21 at 1-3. The Court will resolve that motion at sentencing.

The defendant's sentencing memorandum (filing 21) also objects to the presentence report's calculation of the total offense level—she argues that she should receive a 2-level downward adjustment, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.2(b), as a minor participant in the offense. Section 3B1.2 "provides a range of adjustments for a defendant who plays a part in committing the offense that makes [her] substantially less culpable than the average participant in the criminal activity." *Id.*, cmt. n.3(A). A minor participant adjustment applies to a defendant who plays a part in committing the offense that makes her substantially less culpable than the average participant, but whose role could not be described as minimal. *United States v. Durham*, 836 F.3d 903, 911 (8th Cir. 2016).

And the defendant bears the burden of proving that she is entitled to a mitigating role adjustment. *United States v. Salazar-Aleman*, 741 F.3d 878, 880 (8th Cir. 2013). Specifically, it is the defendant's burden to establish both that she is a "minor participant by comparison with other participants *and* by comparison with the offense for which [she] is accountable." *United States v. Ramirez-Maldonado*, 928 F.3d 702, 708 (8th Cir. 2019). Accordingly, with those principles in mind, the Court will resolve the defendant's objection at sentencing.

- 3. Except to the extent, if any, that the Court has sustained an objection, granted a motion, or reserved an issue for later resolution in the preceding paragraph, the parties are notified that the Court's tentative findings are that the presentence report is correct in all respects.
- 4. If any party wishes to challenge these tentative findings, that party shall, as soon as possible (but in any event no later than three (3) business days before sentencing) file with the Court and serve upon opposing counsel an objection challenging these tentative findings, supported by a brief as to the law and such evidentiary materials as are required, giving due regard to the local rules of practice governing the submission of evidentiary materials. If an evidentiary hearing is requested, such filings should include a statement describing why a hearing is necessary and how long such a hearing would take.
- 5. Absent timely submission of the information required by the preceding paragraph, the Court's tentative findings may become final and the presentence report may be relied upon by the Court without more.

6. Unless otherwise ordered, any objection challenging these tentative findings shall be resolved at sentencing.

Dated this 30th day of November, 2021.

BY THE COURT:

ohn M. Gerrard

Inited States District Judge